



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trade Mark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/214,277

03/01/1999

Kawada et al.

32-248P

EXAMINER

V. Balasubramanian

ART UNIT

PAPER

1624


11

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Ms. Eleanor Eggleton, applicants' representative, informed the examiner on 10/4/2001, that the references cited in PTO-892 were not mailed along with the office action and requested restart of the time period. This action is in response to the above request. Copies of the references which were inadvertently not mailed along with previous office action are enclosed herewith and the time period of response, as requested, is also restarted.

  
RICHARD L. RAYMOND  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1624

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/214,277

Applicant(s)

KAWADA ET AL.

Examiner

Venkataraman  
Balasubrasubramanian

Art Unit

1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkataraman Balasubrasubramanian. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Gsrth Dahlen. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 34-49 and 52.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior art rejections of claims 34-49, 52 and provisos to exclude prior art were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

V. Balasubramanian

Examiner's signature, if required